

07-14-00

A

07/13/00
jc862 U.S. PTO

LAW OFFICES

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST

WESTFIELD, NEW JERSEY 07090-1497

(908) 654-5000

FAX: (908) 654-7866

www.ldlkm.com

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS AND COPYRIGHTS

July 13, 2000

DAVID D. DONEY
JOSEPH S. LITTENBERG
ARNOLD H. KRUMHOLZ
WILLIAM L. MENTLIK
JOHN R. NELSON
ROY H. WEPNER
STEPHEN B. GOLDMAN
CHARLES P. KENNEDY
PAUL H. KOCHANOSKI
MARCUS J. MILLET
BRUCE H. SALES
ARNOLD B. DOMPIERI
KEITH E. GILMAN
ROBERT B. COHEN
MICHAEL H. TESCHNER
GREGORY S. GEWIRTZ
JONATHAN A. DAVID
SHAWN P. FOLEY*

THOMAS M. PALISI
STEPHEN F. ROTH
KIMBERLY V. PERRY
JASON I. GARRELL
RENEE M. ROBESON
LYNNE A. BORCHERS
PETER A. CICALA
MICHAEL J. DOHERTY
MICHAEL J. WALLACE, JR.
MATTHEW B. DERNIER
ROBERT H. CLAUSSEN
J. KIRKLAND DOUGLASS
ROBERT J. SCHEFFEL
SCOTT S. SERVILLA

OF COUNSEL
LAWRENCE I. LERNER
DANIEL H. BOBIS
RAYMOND W. AUGUSTINA
HARVEY L. COHEN
JEFFREY S. DICKEY

*NORTH CAROLINA BAR ONLY
*NEW YORK BAR ONLY
*NEW YORK, CONNECTICUT
AND DISTRICT OF
COLUMBIA BARS ONLY

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner For Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

File No.: CKIM 3.0-001
Title : BEE VENOM TREATMENT WITHOUT
THE STING

Inventor(s): Christopher M. Kim
Assignee :

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith please find the following documents in the above-identified application for Letters Patent of the United States, which claims the benefit of Provisional Application Serial No. 60/197,261, filed April 14, 2000:

1	Pages of Abstract	Executed Declaration
		One (1) return-addressed postcard
19	Pages of Specification	<u>PLEASE PROVIDE FILING DATE AND SERIAL NUMBER</u>
30	Number of Claims	
0	Sheets of Drawings <input type="checkbox"/> A4 <input type="checkbox"/> 11"	
X	Verified Statement to establish small entity status under 37 C.F.R. 1.9 and 1.27	
0	Assignment and Recordation Form Cover Sheet	

jc857 U.S. PTO
09/615437
07/13/00

Please charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 in the amount of \$998.00, calculated as follows:

Basic Fee		\$	345.00
Additional Fees:			
Total number of claims (including multiple dependent claims):	78		
Total number of claims in excess of 20:	58 x \$9		522.00
Number of independent claims:	3		
Number of independent claims minus 3:	0 x \$39		0.00
Fee for multiple dependent claim(s) (\$130)			130.00
TOTAL FILING FEE		\$	998.00

CONVENTION DATE: for Appln. S.N. is claimed.
Priority Document: ☐ Enclosed ☐ Will follow

In the event the actual fee is greater than the payment authorized above, the Patent Office is authorized to charge any deficiency to our Deposit Account No. 12-1095.

Respectfully submitted,

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP


MICHAEL H. TESCHNER Reg. No. 32,862

SB (SBEXECP) 98073

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NUMBER:

EL479161802US

Applicant or Patentee: Christopher M. Kim
Application or Patent No.: Enclosed herewith
Filed or Issued:
Title: BEE VENOM TREATMENT WITHOUT THE STING

Attorney's
Docket No.
CKIM 3.0-001

STATEMENT CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS
(37 C.F.R. §§ 1.9(f) and 1.27(b)) - INDEPENDENT INVENTOR

As a below named inventor, I hereby state that I qualify as an independent inventor as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.9(c) for purposes of paying reduced fees to the Patent and Trademark Office described in:

- ☒ the specification filed herewith with title as listed above.
☐ the application identified above.
☐ the patent identified above.

I have not assigned, granted, conveyed or licensed, and am under no obligation under contract or law to assign, grant, convey or license, any rights in the invention to any person who would not qualify as an independent inventor under 37 C.F.R. § 1.9(c) if that person had made the invention, or to any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 C.F.R. § 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 C.F.R. § 1.9(e).

Each person, concern or organization to which I have assigned, granted, conveyed, or licensed, or am under an obligation under contract or law to assign, grant, convey, or license, any rights in the invention is listed below:

- ☒ No such person, concern or organization exists.
☐ Each such person, concern or organization is listed below.

*NOTE: Separate statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention stating their status as small entities. (37 C.F.R. § 1.27)

FULL NAME: Christopher M. Kim

ADDRESS: 11 Yellowbrook Road, Holmdel, NJ 07733

☒ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

FULL NAME:

ADDRESS:

☐ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 C.F.R. § 1.28(b))

Christopher M. Kim

NAME OF INVENTOR

NAME OF INVENTOR

NAME OF INVENTOR


Signature of Inventor

Signature of Inventor

Signature of Inventor

July 12, 2000
Date

Date

Date

BEE VENOM TREATMENT WITHOUT THE STING

The present application claims the benefit of provisional application serial no. 60/197,261, filed April 14, 2000, the text of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

5 FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the fields of medicine and pharmacy, and specifically to bee venom formulations and methods of making and using same.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 Apitherapy is the medicinal use of various products of *Apis mellifera* (the common honeybee) including raw honey, pollen, propolis, beeswax, royal jelly and venom. Various studies attribute antibacterial, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative and anticancer potentiating properties to honey.

15 In China, for example, raw honey is applied to burns as an antiseptic and a pain killer. Recently, propolis has been identified as containing substances called caffeic esters that inhibit the development of precancerous changes in the colon of rats given a known carcinogen. Preparations from pieces of honeycomb containing pollen are reported to be successful for treating allergies and bee pollen is touted as an excellent food. This review focuses on related research materials about bee venom to treat chronic inflammatory painful illness.

20 Indeed, various forms of apitherapy have been used since ancient times. Ancient writers as diverse as Hesiod (800 BC), Aristophanes (450-388 BC), Varro (166-27 BC) and Columella (First Century AD) all wrote on the cultivation of the hive. Hippocrates (460-377 BC), the Father of Medicine, used it and call it Arcanum -- a very mysterious remedy. Galan (131-201 AD), the Father of
25 Experimental Physiology, mentioned it in his treatises on medicine. Charlemagne (742-814 AD) is said to have had himself treated with bee stings. The Koran (XVI:71) refers to bee venom in the following terms: "There proceeded from their

bellies a liquor wherein is a medicine for men." For apitherapy and the scientific understanding of bees, real progress began about 100 years ago when physician Phillip Terc of Austria advocated the deliberate use of bee stings in his work: Report about a Peculiar Connection Between the Beestings and Rheumatism.

5 Today's proponents of apitherapy cite the benefits of bee venom and other bee derived products for alleviating chronic pain and for treating many ailments including various rheumatic diseases involving inflammation and degeneration of connective tissue (*e.g.*, several types of arthritis), neurological disease (migraine, peripheral neuritis, chronic low back pain), autoimmune disease (multiple sclerosis, lupus) and dermatological conditions (eczema, psoriasis, herpes virus infections). In contrast, interest in bees has been sporadic in conventional medicine, focusing mainly on two areas unrelated to the therapeutic uses proposed above. These areas are: (i) the danger of hypersensitivity reactions, including anaphylactic shock, from the sting of insects of the genus *Apis*; (ii) the use of bee venom itself as immunotherapy for allergic reaction to such stings, especially to prevent life-threatening anaphylactic reactions in adults.

20 Despite the promise of apitherapy in alternative medicine circles, for the reasons discussed above as well as the absence of standardized bee venom preparations and limited protocols for bee venom's effective use, the application of bee venom in mainstream medicine has languished. In addition to the foregoing, apitherapy is often painful. The use of actual bees for the administration of bee venom can be dangerous and difficult to control. It is also difficult for people to overcome their aversion to insects and being stung. Even if administered by less painful techniques, such as by acupuncture, bee venom itself causes a unique combination of pain, burning and irritation. Such therapy can leave one asking whether the treatment is worse than the condition. In view of the foregoing, there remains a need for standardized formulations and methods for administering apitherapy to patients in need of such therapies. Methods which avoid the use of actual bees and which can reduce the pain associated with apitherapy are particularly desirable. It is to these problems that the present invention is addressed.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with one aspect of the present invention, there is provided a standardized bee venom preparation suitable for administration by injection. This bee venom preparation comprises a liquid carrier which is mixed with between about 0.1 and about 10 milligrams (mg) by weight of bee venom per milliliter (mL) of liquid carrier. The preparation can also include excipients such as diluents, preservatives, buffers, viscosity modifiers, co-solvents and the like. Preferably, the bee venom will be provided in an amount of between about 0.5 and about 5.0mg per mL of liquid carrier.

Preferably, the bee venom will also include between about 0.01 and about 1mg of melittin and between about 80 to about 9500 micrograms (mcg) total protein per mL of carrier. Most preferably, the bee venom used will include between about 0.04 and about 0.05mg of melittin and between about 800 to about 950mcg total protein per mL of carrier.

Preferably, the standardized bee venom preparation in accordance with the present invention is filtered through a 25 micrometer (mcm) filter (or better) so as to remove bacteria, bacterial debris, viruses and other contaminants. The formulations of this aspect of the present invention are sufficiently pure to provide safe and effective therapy through a standard injection intradermally, subcutaneously or intramuscularly. They are more highly purified than other bee venom preparations known in the art. Yet they retain the most active components of bee venom and may be obtained using commercially viable technology. They therefore represent a unique compromise of safety and efficacy as well as convenience and cost.

Another aspect of the present invention is the provision of compounds useful in apitherapy which greatly reduce the discomfort normally associated with apitherapy. Frequently, apitherapy involves multiple injections of relatively small doses of bee venom at various sites. By way of example only, 1mL containing 1mg of bee venom could be administered in as many as 50 injections at the inflicted area and surrounding same. About 0.1mL doses, each containing 0.1mg of bee venom,

would therefore be delivered via each injection. Each of the 50 injections can cause the pain, burning, itching and irritation, as well as potentially swelling and inflammation normally associated with a bee sting. These are collectively referred to herein as "irritation." It has been found that conventional topically applied
5 anesthetics, are ineffective in overcoming or reducing these unfortunate side effects. They can lessen the discomfort associated with being stuck by a needle but they do little to mitigate the irritation caused by the injected bee venom.

It is quite unexpected, therefore, to find that anesthetics and in particular, topical or local anesthetics, can be injected along with the bee venom, or shortly
10 before or after, directly into the bee venom's injection site. When applied in this manner, even at doses and concentrations far lower than those normally used for topical and local applications for treatments as painful as bee venom, such as below 2%, it has been found that the irritation associated with the injection of bee venom can be reduced appreciably. Indeed, while anesthetics may be used in amounts of 1
15 to 2% topically, much more is often required for very painful procedures. It was very surprising to learn that amounts as low as 2mg/injection and less produced meaningful reductions in discomfort. Indeed, it was particularly surprising to observe these advantages at only 10 times the amount of venom administered and below.

Not only was the amount of anesthetic used when applied in this fashion
20 surprising, so too was the way in which it acted. Anesthetics applied topically and intradermally would be expected, at these concentrations and quantities, to provide some form of pain relief for only a few moments. They might help with the initial pain of the injection. However, bee venom can be very painful for as much as 10-15
25 minutes after injection, much longer than such a small amount of anesthetic should be expected to provide any relief. Yet, it was surprisingly found that anesthetics applied as described herein can actually provide adequate pain relief long after the injection. Indeed, pain relief was consistent. That is to say that patients did not, after 5 or 10 minutes, suddenly realize a higher level of pain as the anesthetic wore off.

Therefore, compounds in accordance with this aspect of the present invention include a therapeutically effective amount of bee venom and at least one anesthetic provided in an amount which is sufficient to reduce the irritation associated with the injection of the bee venom. In one preferred aspect of the present invention, the bee venom and the anesthetic are intermixed and administered as a single preparation. However, the compound in accordance with the present invention may also be produced *in situ*, in the injection site, by first injecting a patient with the appropriate amount of anesthetic and then the appropriate amount of bee venom or vice versa.

Generally, the anesthetic is provided in a ratio of between about 20:1 to about 1:10 by weight relative to the weight of the bee venom. Preferably, the ratio is 10:1-1:10 by weight. However, more preferably, the anesthetic and bee venom are provided in a 3:1 to about a 1:1 weight ratio. Both the bee venom and the anesthetic are preferably provided in combination with at least one excipient or at least one liquid carrier. The amount of bee venom generally present in each mL of the compounds of this aspect of the present invention range from between about 0.1 to about 10mg and the bee venom used is preferably the standardized bee venom preparation described above.

The present invention also relates to methods of administering bee venom to a patient so as to reduce the patient's discomfort during treatment by administering, simultaneously or consecutively, as previously discussed, both a therapeutically effective amount of bee venom and at least one anesthetic. Both are administered intradermally, subcutaneously or intramuscularly and to the same injection sites (*i.e.*, if the anesthetic is administered intradermally to a specific spot on a patient's left knee, the bee venom is also administered intradermally to that same spot).

The amount of anesthetic provided in accordance with these methods is an amount which is sufficient to reduce the irritation associated with the injection of the therapeutically effective amount of bee venom. Typically, each injection in accordance with these methods will deliver between about 0.01 to about 1.0mg of bee venom and more preferably between about 0.05 to about 0.5mg per injection. Most preferably, about 0.1mg would be administered per injection. The total amount of bee venom administered per treatment session will depend upon the number of injections. Similarly, the amount of anesthetic administered per injection will range from between about 0.01 to about 10mg and more preferably between about 0.10 to about 1.0mg per injection. Most preferably, the amount of anesthetic administered will be about 0.1mg to about 0.3mg per injection.

In another aspect of the present invention, there are provided methods of treating rheumatoid, osteo, gouty, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, fibromyalgia, fibromyositis, myofascial dysfunction pain syndrome, tennis elbow, golfer's elbow, frozen shoulder, bursitis, tendonitis, chronic surgical inflammation of soft and bony tissue, peripheral neuritis, neuralgia, migraine, eczema, psoriasis, multiple sclerosis and lupus as well as other autoimmune, neurological, dermatological and degenerative diseases by the administration of bee venom preparation in the pharmaceutical compounds as described above.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Bee venom, in accordance with the present invention, generally refers to the venom of *Apis mellifera* (the honeybee). The general composition of bee venom is provided in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1 -- Typical biochemical compositions of the bee venom

DESCRIPTION	COMPONENT	MOL. WT.	% (DRY VENOM)
Peptides	Melittin	2,840	40-50
	Apamin	2,036	2-3
	MCD-Peptide (Peptide 401)	2,588	2-3
	Adolapin	11,500	1.0
	Protease Inhibitor	9,000	<0.8
	Secarpin		0.5
	Tertiapin		0.1
	Melittin F		0.01
	Procamine A, B		1.4
	Minimine	6,000	2-3
	Cardiopep?		<0.7
Enzymes	Hyaluronidase	38,000	1.5-2.0
	Phospholipase A2	19,000	10-12
	α -Glucosidase	170,000	0.6
	Acid Phosphomponesterase	55,000	1.0
	Lysophospholipase	22,000	1.0
Physiologically Active amines	Histamine		0.6-1.6
	Dopamine		0.13-1.0
	Norepinephrine		0.1-0.7
Nonpeptide Components	Carbohydrates: Glucose and Fructose		<2.0
	Lipids: 6 Phospholipids		4-5
	Amino Acids: γ -Aminobutyric Acid		<0.5
	β -Aminoisobutyric Acid		<0.01

By weight of the dry venom, the most significant component is a peptide known as melittin which is known to stimulate the hypophyseal-adrenal system and produces cortisone. It is 100 times more potent than hydrocortisone. Melittin is also known to stabilize lysosome cell membranes which provide protection against inflammation. The other main pharmacological components include apamin, MCD-peptide (also known as peptide 401), adolapin and various protease inhibitors. Apamin works like melittin. It also inhibits the complement system, C₃, which is involved in inflammation. MCD-peptide blocks arachidonic acid and inhibits prostaglandin synthesis. Adolapin inhibits microsomal cyclooxygenase. It also inhibits lipoxxygenase and thromboxane. Protease inhibitors inhibit carrageenin, prostaglandin E₁, bradykinin and histamine induced inflammation, amongst other effects. Therefore, the term "bee venom" as used herein also refers to the

administration of one or more of the pharmacologically active components of bee venom, such as melittin or a combination of melittin and peptide 401. The term "bee venom" also, of course, refers to whole venom, as well as to, in the appropriate context, venom or components thereof prepared and purified in accordance with the present invention.

Unlike conventional forms of apitherapy which utilized bee stings, the standardized bee venom preparations preferred in accordance with the present invention are mixed with a liquid carrier. Any carrier which can solublize dried pure bee venom and which is pharmaceutically acceptable for intradermal, subdermal or intramuscular administration may be used in accordance with the present invention. Most preferably, such carriers include sterile and/or deionized water and physiological saline solution, *i.e.*, those containing about 0.9% sodium chloride. Generally, the amount of liquid carrier useful in accordance with the present invention is sufficient to provide a concentration of between about 0.1 to about 10mg of bee venom per mL. More preferably, the amount of liquid carrier is sufficient to provide a concentration of between about 0.5 and about 5mg of bee venom per mL. Most preferably, the mixture of liquid carrier and bee venom results about 1mg of bee venom per mL. For example, 1g of dried bee venom can be diluted in 1L (1,000mL) of normal, injectable, physiological saline (0.9%NaCl). Preferably, this is done at about 20°C inside a sterile room. The result is a solution containing 1mg of bee venom per mL. Preferably, the bee venom in accordance with the present invention is whole bee venom.

After filtering through a 25mcm filter, the standardized bee venom preparation preferably includes between about 0.01 to about 1.0mg of melittin and about 80 to about 9,500mcg total protein per mL. More preferably, the resulting pharmaceutical compound includes between about 0.01 to about 0.10mg of melittin and about 400 to about 4,500mcg total protein and most preferably, between about 0.04 to about 0.05mg of melittin and about 800 to 950mcg of total protein per mL. Most preferably, the bee venom in question will exhibit 40 to 100HHU/mL of

Hyaluronidase activity (diluted to 100mcg/mL) and is capable of inhibiting gelatin induced aggregation of erythrocytes of 3-5mm/H.

It will be appreciated that any purification means may be utilized to provide bee venom of similar purity as that resulting from a 25mcm filter. Such methodologies include normal and reverse phase chromatography, affinity chromatography, recrystallization, immunochemical precipitation, membrane permeation methods as well as other filtration methods.

In addition to the liquid carrier, the bee venom preparations in accordance with the present invention may include other conventional excipients including viscosity modifiers, preservatives and additives such as sodium chloride, various saccharides and the like. These excipients include, without limitation, benzyl alcohol and methylparaban, Ringers solution and other physiologically acceptable materials commonly used in injectable therapeutics.

Typical formulations in accordance with this aspect of the present invention include 1.0mg of pure dried *Apis mellifera* venom and 9.0mg of sodium chloride per each mL of water. Another preferred formulation comprises 1.0mg of pure dried *Apis mellifera* venom, 9.0mg of sodium chloride and 0.009mL of benzyl alcohol plus 0.991mL of water. Again, these formulations are preferably filtered through a filtering device which will exclude anything over at least 25mcm. The use of even finer grade filtering is also contemplated.

When used alone for the treatment of various conditions such as arthritis or multiple sclerosis, or the relief of joint or back pain, for example, multiple doses are typically administered. Generally, bee venom is administered over a course of six to twelve weeks in one or more sessions per week and a number of injections can increase from one or two injections to twenty to fifty or more injections per session. The amount of bee venom, as described herein, which may be administered with each injection may range from between about 0.01 to about 1mg. Preferably, the amount of bee venom administered is between about 0.05 and about 0.5mg per injection, and more preferably about 0.1mg per injection. Thus, 1mL of either of the two

formulations described immediately above can be provided in 10 divided doses of 0.1mL each with each dose delivering 0.1mg of bee venom.

Generally in accordance with the present invention, the bee venom containing solution is administered intradermally using a suitable sterile syringe with 0.1mL graduations and a 25 or 27 gauge needle. The needle should generally range from between about 1/4" to about 5/8" long. It is appropriate to undertake skin testing of the patient before the first treatment to determine possibility of allergic reaction. A suitable testing area such as the flexor surface of the forearm is used at about 1/2 of the normal dose, or 0.05mL, slowly injected to make a small hemispherical bleb. The interpretation of the skin response is based on the size of wheal, size of erythema and the appearance of irregular spreading, pseudo-pod projections from the test area. A normal response appears when there is a pinpoint blood spot which indicates needle insertion, a wheal having a diameter of 0.5 to about 1.0cm and erythematous changes from about 1" to about 2" surrounding the injection site. If no systemic reactions occur within 15 to 30 minutes after injection, the test is considered negative.

When administering intradermally, prior to injection, it is often advisable to withdraw the plunger and observe for blood entering the syringe to avoid intravascular injection. The injections are given in different places dependent upon the affliction. However, for the purposes of mitigating pain and treating arthritis, for example, the injections are given in the painful area first. Most preferably, the injections are given to the tender point or trigger points. Later, one may give injections to the spinal area according to the dermatome chart for maximum effect. Generally, during the course of treatment, alcohol consumption is forbidden, ice packs or mentholated ointment may be applied if local reactions occur and if generalized itching occurs, Benadryl may be taken, preferably in the amount of about 75mg at bedtime and about 50mg during the day. Acetaminophen or other antipyretics may be taken as indicated for low grade fever or chills.

This invention also contemplates an apparatus for the collection of venom from honeybees in the purest way using a low voltage electric shock method. The

extractor consists of two wooden frames one inside the other. The electric wires are attached to the front surface of the outer frame and the wires are alternately grounded and charged. When a bee comes into contact with two consecutive wires it completes the circuit between the wires and as a result is shocked.

5 The extractor is placed in front of the hive and connected with an electric timer to a nine volt battery. When the battery is connected, current is allowed to flow alternatively, five seconds on and three seconds off. As soon as the device is turned on, the bees in the hives get excited and start to come out to attack the extractor. At the same time, they spread pheromones into the air which makes other bees angry.
10 When the bees get the electric shock, they bend their lower abdomen and force their stingers into a silicone rubber sheet stretched across one portion of the inner frame. When electricity is off for three seconds, they withdraw their stinger so that they do not die. Pure liquid bee venom is deposited on the underside of the silicone rubber sheet. The inner frame is removed from the outer frame and placed in a sterile box.

15 The box can be placed in the sterile refrigerator (4° C) for four to six hours to allow it to air dry. The inner frame will then be taken out of the box and placed in a large sterile cage. Dried venom crystals are easily scraped off using a medical blade. The collected crystallized venom is then put in a sterilized bottle and it is sealed. The venom may also be collected as described in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,739,531 and
20 3,163,871, the text of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

 The use of the standardized formulations in accordance with the present invention in the treatment of various conditions, particularly those described herein, represents a significant advancement over the art. However, being stung by a bee is not a pleasant experience. Being stung 30 times or more certainly raises the level of
25 discomfort. The painful burning sensation experienced by the use of bee venom is unlike other forms of pain and irritation. And while standardized formulations administered intradermally, as preferred in accordance with the present invention, is far more palatable than actual bee stings, the irritation associated with the administration of bee venom can still represent a deterrent to the use of apitherapy.
30 Therefore, in a preferred aspect of the present invention there is provided

compositions and methods which mitigate, reduce and, in some instances, even eliminate the irritation associated with the injection of bee venom. This discovery is surprising. First, the use of anesthetics and, in particular, topically applied local anesthetics, does not provide relief from the burning, stinging and other forms of irritation which generally result from, for example, intradermal introduction of bee venom. Yet the concurrent or simultaneous administration of an anesthetic to the same injection site does result in relief.

Unfortunately, the introduction of an anesthetic in this way was found to generate problems not otherwise anticipated. For example, when an appropriate amount of a 2% solution of lidocaine was used, (20mg/mL lidocaine:1mg/ml bee venom) significant relief from the stinging, burning and other forms of irritation associated with the intradermal administration of bee venom was realized. However, the lidocaine itself caused irritation which was not associated with the bee venom. The result was a significant improvement over the use of bee venom alone. However, the fact that lidocaine used in amounts which were typically appropriate for, for example, topical or local use, could be associated with additional irritation when used in combination with bee venom was surprising.

It was also unexpectedly found that one could reduce the relative amount of anesthetic introduced to levels far below those generally used in topical and local applications (*i.e.*, 1% and below) resulting in both a significant reduction in the irritation associated with the injection of the bee venom and, at the same time, the elimination of the irritation associated with the, for example, intradermal administration of the anesthetic.

In accordance with this aspect of the present invention, the bee venom used may be that described previously or any other bee venom preparation, purified, filtered or not. The pharmaceutical compounds in question may include the anesthetic mixed into a single solution and administered in a single syringe. It is not necessary, however, that the bee venom and the anesthetic be injected in a single formulation or even simultaneously. The anesthetic can be formulated separately and administered to the same injection site either after or, more preferably, immediately

before the injection of the bee venom. When consecutively administered, it is preferable that the anesthetic be injected no more than an hour before and no longer than 10 minutes after the introduction of the bee venom. More preferably, when consecutively administering the bee venom and anesthetic, the anesthetic is administered within 10 minutes of the bee venom., preferably, within just a few moments before or after. Most preferably, the anesthetic is administered immediately before or immediately after the bee venom.

If the bee venom is to be administered intramuscularly, then the anesthetic should also be administered to the same injection site intramuscularly. The same thing holds true for subdermal or subcutaneous and, most preferably, intradermal administration.

The degree to which irritation associated with the injection of the bee venom is reduced is somewhat subjective. It would depend on a number of factors such as, for example, the individual patient's threshold for pain, the number of injections and the amount of bee venom administered with each injection, the location of the injection site and the depth of injection, intradermal, subcutaneous or intramuscular. However, generally speaking, by the use of an appropriate amount of anesthetic as described and claimed herein, the degree of irritation will be reduced relative to the administration of the same dose, in the same protocol to the same patient without the anesthetic.

Anesthetics in accordance with the present invention include any compound which can reduce the irritation associated with the injection of bee venom. Anesthetics need not be topical or local anesthetics. However, such anesthetics are preferred. In particular, anesthetics useful in accordance with the present invention include: Ambucaine, Amolanone, Amylocaine Hydrochloride, Benoxinate, Benzocaine, Betoxycaine, Biphenamine, Bupivacaine, Butacaine, Butamben, Butanilicaine, Butethamine, Butoxycaine, Carticaine, Chloroprocaine Hydrochloride, Cocaethylene, Cocaine, Cyclomethycaine, Dibucaine Hydrochloride, Dimethisoquin, Dimethocaine, Dipiperdon Hydrochloride, Dyclonine, Ecgonidine, Ecgonine, Ethyl Chloride, Etidocaine, β -Eucaine, Euprocin, Fenalcomine, Fomocaine, Hexylcaine

Hydrochloride, Hydroxytetracaine, Isobutyl *p*-Aminobenzoate, Leucinocaine Mesylate, Levoadrol, Lidocaine, Mepivacaine, Meprylcaine, Metabutoxycaine, Methyl Chloride, Myrtecaine, Naepaine, Octacaine, Orthocaine, Oxethazaine, Parethoxycaine, Phenacaine Hydrochloride, Phenol, Piperocaine, Piridocaine, Polidocanol, Plidocanol, Pramoxine, Prilocaine, Procaine, Propanocaine, Proparacaine, Propipocaine, Propoxycaine Hydrochloride, Pseudococaine, Pyrrocaine, Ropivacaine, Salicyl Alcohol, Tetracaine Hydrochloride, Tolycaine, Trimecaine, and Zolamine. The most preferred of these, in accordance with the present invention, is lidocaine.

Understandably, the amount of anesthetic used is generally controlled by the amount of bee venom used. However, the amount may need to be adjusted based on the specifics of the patient's condition, sensitivity to pain or the exact course of treatment. Generally, the amount of anesthetic is provided in a ratio of between about 20:1 to about 1:10 by weight relative to the weight of the bee venom. More preferably, the anesthetic is provided in a ratio of about 10:1 to about 1: 5 by weight and more preferably between about 3:1 to about 1:1 by weight.

The liquid carriers and/or excipients used to formulate the anesthetic in accordance with the present invention may be the same or different as that used in formulating the bee venom. It is also possible that the anesthetic is a liquid or is purchased in a formulation in which it is premixed with one or more carriers and/or excipients. In that case, no additional carriers or excipients need be used for the anesthetic. Furthermore, these types of anesthetic formulations could themselves be used as the carrier for the bee venom or mixed with a liquid bee venom formulation such as that described above.

The amount of anesthetic administered is preferably between about 0.01 and about 2.0mg per injection and more preferably between about 0.05 and about 1.0mg per injection. Most preferably, the amount of anesthetic administered in each injection is about 0.1mg per injection.

Typical formulations include: (1) each mL contains pure dried *Apis mellifera* venom 1.0mg, sodium chloride 9.0mg, and lidocaine hydrochloride 1.0mg in 1mL water for injection; (2) each mL contains pure dried *Apis mellifera* venom 1.0mg, sodium chloride 9.0mg, lidocaine hydrochloride 1.0mg, and benzyl alcohol 0.009mL in 0.991mL of water for injection; and (3) each mL contains pure dried *Apis mellifera* venom 1.0mg, sodium chloride 9.0mg, lidocaine hydrochloride 1.0mg and methylparaban 0.1mg in 1mL of water for injection.

EXAMPLES

All of the patients in the following examples had been previously treated with standard medical therapies and yet significant pain persisted. Multiple medications including analgesics, muscle relaxants, non-steroidal anti-inflammatories, various injections, chiropractic manipulation, physical therapy, and even surgery often failed to relieve patients medical conditions and in particular, their pain.

The examples were performed using a bee venom/lidocaine dosage form of 0.1 mL per injection of a solution of 1:1 bee venom to lidocaine for each dose given from the sixth session on. Prior sessions used bee venom alone. The solutions were formulated with normal saline (9.0 mg/mL) and was filtered through a 25mcm filter. Each patient was injected with individual injections of 0.1mL per injection. The number of injections varied with the condition and number of treatments.

In each of the examples, the patients were treated by intradermal injections with formulations in accordance with the present invention twice a week on average for 12 to 20 sessions. In the following examples, "RA Titer" is a blood test commonly used in diagnosis of Rheumatoid Arthritis. A titer score of less than 20 is considered negative which is, in this case, a desirable result. "ESR" stands for "erythrocyte sedimentation rate" which is used as an indicator of an inflammatory condition. Normal is considered on a scale of 1 to 10. Anything higher is problematic and indicates generalized inflammation.

"ROM" means range of motion. The standard for range of motion changes depending on the joint in question. However, the greater the distance between the

two end points, the greater the range of motion. Tenderness and swelling are graded on a standard scale using plus signs. Each plus sign generally indicates approximately 20%. The maximum number of plusses (5) indicate a maximum amount of tenderness and/or swelling. "VAS" stands for visual analog scale. This is a method widely recognized for pain measurement. The most common VAS consists of 100mm horizontal line with two end points labeled no pain and worst pain ever. The patient is requested to place a mark on the 100mm line at the point which corresponds to the level of pain intensity he or she presently feels. The distance in millimeters from the low end of the VAS to the patient's mark is used as the numerical index of severity of pain. This procedure has been published in Churchill Livingston, Textbook of Pain, New York, 3d ed. 1994 338-339 and in Lea and Febiger, The Management of Pain, Philadelphia, 2d ed. 1990 581-582.

Example 1: Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA)

NG is a 39 year old female who suffered from RA for 19 years. She tried every possible treatment including a cancer therapy drug to help her condition. The pain and swelling in her hands and wrists were unbearable and she became disabled. She had 24 sessions of therapy in accordance with the present invention with 95% improvement. Her condition stabilized and no more pain and swelling was noted.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
RA Titer (<20 = Negative)	268	18
ESR (Normal: 0-10)	49	7
ROM (Flexion: 0-70)	Wrist - Rt: 15, Lt: 10	Wrist - Rt: 55, Lt: 60
Tenderness & Swelling	+++++	+
VAS (Pain Index)	98	15

Example 2: Osteoarthritis

HR is a 75 year old female who suffered from degenerative arthritis for over 25 years. Her knees and spine were more involved than other joints. She had 18 treatments in accordance with the present invention and her condition markedly improved. She stopped taking all the medications and is doing well.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Tenderness & Swelling	+++	0
ROM (Fl-Ext: 0-120)	Knees - Rt: 60, Lt: 75	Knees - Rt: 100, Lt: 110
VAS (Pain Level)	73	16

Example 3: Ankylosing Spondylitis

MO is a 53 year old female who had long-standing low back problems and multiple operations with fusions. She had 18 months rehabilitation therapy without relief. She had a total of 26 treatments in accordance with the present invention with moderate improvement. No more medications were needed. Follow-up visits monthly when it is necessary.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Tenderness & Swelling	+++++	+
VAS (Pain Level)	95	20

Example 4: Fibromyalgia

PA is a 32 year old female who suffered with Fibromyalgia. All prior medical and psychological treatments were unsuccessful. Trigger point injections were given in multiple areas. Total 16 treatments with complete relief. Note -- a "trigger point" is an area which, when stimulated, causes a sudden pain in a specific area.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Multiple Trigger Points	14	0
VAS (Pain Level)	82	17

Example 5: Tennis Elbow

JK is a 43 year old male who suffered from "Tennis Elbow" for 4 years. Conventional approaches failed. After a total of 12 treatment sessions with formulations in accordance with the present invention, his recovery was nearly complete.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Tenderness & Swelling	+++++	+
VAS (Pain Level)	65	0

Example 6: Frozen Shoulder (Adhesive Capsulitis)

BO is a 56 year old male with Frozen Shoulder. Medications, injections and an operation failed. His range of motion was limited to 20% with constant pain. After 14 treatment sessions with formulations in accordance with the present invention, his recovery was complete.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Tenderness	++++	0
ROM	Limited to 20%	Full Motion
VAS (Pain Level)	85	5

Example 7: Chronic Surgical Inflammation

BC is a 49 year old male who had more than 10 abdominal surgeries due to bleeding ulcers, alcoholic cirrhosis and intestinal obstructions. Due to a phantom gallbladder pain and surgical pain, he was heavily addicted to medications. After 28 treatment sessions with formulations in accordance with the present invention, and with the injections concentrating at surgical scars, relief was nearly 100%.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Tenderness	+++++	+
VAS (Pain Level)	92	14

Example 8: Postherpetic Neuralgia (PHN)

JP is a 78 year old female who suffered from shingles. Medical and surgical treatments failed. She experienced a nearly 90% improvement after 16 treatments in accordance with the present invention. Note -- a "bleb" is a blister or bulla.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Blebs at Thoracic Area	+++	0
Hypersensitivity (Touch)	+++++	+
VAS (Pain Level)	100	28

Example 9: Psoriasis

TH is a 28 year old male who suffered from psoriasis for 7 years. Medications were helping only temporarily. His condition was severe, so that he could not wear short pants even on hot summer days. After a total of 24 sessions, marked improvement was realized. Follow-up treatment was required at least once a month.

Example 10: Multiple Sclerosis (MS)

SR is a 47 year old female with multiple sclerosis for 15 years. Despite all the conventional treatments, her condition progressively worsened. She continuously felt tingling and numbness in her legs, lost 40% of bladder control, lost strength in her extremities and her balance was so terrible that she had to depend upon a cane or walker. After a total of 28 treatment sessions, a marked improvement was observed in her condition. Her bladder control is now 100% and she no longer needs a cane or walker. She requires follow-up visits every 3 to 4 weeks for foreseeable future.

	Before Treatment	After Treatment
Muscle Weakness	+++++	+
Spasticity	+++++	++
Bladder Control	60%	100%
Balance	Poor	Good
Using a Cane or Walker	Yes	No

Example 11: Pain

Thirty patients, including the 10 patients described in Examples 1-10 were treated with the appropriate amount of bee venom alone for the first five (5) sessions. All subsequent sessions (number varied from patient to patient) were treated with a solution of 1:1 bee venom to lidocaine as described under the general heading "Examples" above. Patients were never told which formulation they were given and patients were asked about the level and type of pain and discomfort realized after each treatment. Patients were also checked for body language, degree of wincing, reluctance to treatment, etc.

97% of patients objectively reported lower injection site pain and discomfort using the venom/lidocaine formulation. There was less fear about further injections, and since using this invention, the number of patients who drop out of treatment has dropped dramatically. The pain relief was consistent in that patients did not experience a sudden increase in pain as would be expected from the relatively short lived pain relief otherwise expected for small doses of these types of anesthetics.

CLAIMS

1. A pharmaceutical compound comprising:
 - a therapeutically effective amount of bee venom; and
 - at least one anesthetic provided in an amount which is sufficient to reduce the irritation associated with the injection of said bee venom .
2. The pharmaceutical compound of claim 1 wherein said anesthetic is provided in a ratio of between about 20:1–1:10 by weight relative to the weight of said bee venom.
3. The pharmaceutical compound of claim 2 wherein said anesthetic is provided in a ratio of between about 10:1–1:5 by weight relative to the weight of said bee venom.
4. The pharmaceutical compound of claim 3 wherein said anesthetic is provided in a ratio of between about 3:1–1:1 by weight relative to the weight of said bee venom.
5. The pharmaceutical compound of claims 1, 2, 3 or 4 further comprising at least one excipient or liquid carrier.
6. The pharmaceutical compound of claim 5 wherein said at least one excipient or liquid carrier are provided in an amount which is sufficient to provide between about 0.1mg and about 10mg of bee venom per mL.
7. The pharmaceutical compound of claim 6 wherein said at least one excipient or liquid carrier are provided in an amount which is sufficient to provide between about 0.5mg and about 5.0mg of bee venom per mL.
8. The pharmaceutical compound of claim 7 wherein said at least one excipient or liquid carrier are provided in an amount which is sufficient to provide about 1mg of bee venom per mL.

- 10

15

- 20

17. The method of claim 16 wherein said at least one excipient or liquid carrier are provided in an amount which is sufficient to provide about 0.5 mg and about 5.0mg of bee venom per mL.
- 5 18. The method of claim 17 wherein said at least one excipient or liquid carrier are provided in an amount which is sufficient to provide about 1.0mg of bee venom per mL
19. The method of claim 15 wherein said be venom is administered in an amount of between about 0.01mg and about 1.0mg per injection.
- 10 20. The method of claim 19 wherein said be venom is administered in an amount of between about 0.05mg and about 0.5mg per injection.
21. The method of claim 20 wherein said be venom is administered in an amount of about 0.1mg per injection.
- 15 22. The method of claim 11 wherein said patient is suffering from a condition selected from the group consisting of Rheumatoid Arthritis, Osteoarthritis, Gouty Arthritis, Psoriatic Arthritis, Ankylosing Spondylitis, Fibromyalgia, Fibromyositis, Myofascial Dysfunction Pain Syndrome, Tennis Elbow and Golfers Elbow, Frozen Shoulder, Bursitis, Tendonitis, Chronic Surgical Inflammation of Soft and Bony Tissue, Peripheral Neuritis, Neuralgia, Migraine, Eczema, Psoriasis, Multiple Sclerosis, Lupus.
- 20 23. The method of claim 15 wherein said anesthetic is administered in an amount of between about 0.01mg and about 2mg per injection.
24. The method of claim 23 wherein said anesthetic is administered in an amount of between about 0.05mg and about 1.0mg per injection.
- 25 25. The method of claim 24 wherein said anesthetic is administered in an amount of about 0. 1mg to about 0.3mg per injection.

26. The method of claim 11 wherein said at least one anesthetic is a local anesthetic.
27. The method of claim 26 wherein said local anesthetic is lidocaine.
28. The method of claim 11 wherein said bee venom has the purity equivalent to that resulting from filtering through a 25mcm filter.
29. A standardized bee venom preparation suitable for administration by injection comprising: a liquid carrier and admixed therein between about 0.1mg to about 10.0mg by weight of bee venom per mL of said liquid carrier, said preparation being filtered though a 25mcm filter.
30. The standardized bee venom preparation of claim 29 further comprising at least one anesthetic provided in an amount which is sufficient to reduce the irritation associated with the injection of said bee venom.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

Bee venom may be administered in a standardized formulation with or without relatively small amounts of anesthetic. In particular, the results of the combination of venom and anesthetic dramatically decreased pain and discomfort for patients undergoing apitherapy.

261530_1.DOC

DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.: CKIM 3.0-001

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

BEE VENOM TREATMENT WITHOUT THE STING the specification of which

☒ is attached hereto

☐ was filed on _____ as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number _____ and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or § 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (month, day, year)	PRIORITY CLAIMED
			YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>

LISTING OF FOREIGN APPLICATIONS CONTINUED ON PAGE 3 HEREOF ☐ YES ☒ NO

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number: 60/197,261

Filing Date: April 14, 2000

Application Number:

Filing Date:

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s), or § 365(c) of any PCT international application designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

U.S. Parent Application Serial Number:

Parent Filing Date:

Parent Patent No.:

U.S. Parent Application Serial Number:

Parent Filing Date:

Parent Patent No.:

PCT Parent Number:

Parent Filing Date:

LISTING OF US APPLICATIONS CONTINUED ON PAGE 3 HEREOF: ☐ YES ☒ NO

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following registered practitioner(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Customer Number 000530

DIRECT ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: Customer No. 000530


DECLARATION -- Page 2

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor (given name, family name): CHRISTOPHER M. KIM

Inventor's signature



Date

July 12, 2000

Residence: 11 Yellowbrook Road, Holmdel, NJ 07733 Citizenship: U.S.A.

Post Office Address: 11 Yellowbrook Road, Holmdel, NJ 07733

Full name of second joint inventor, if any (given name, family name)

Second Inventor's signature

Date

Residence: Citizenship:

Post Office Address:

Full name of third joint inventor, if any (given name, family name):

Third Inventor's signature

Date

Residence: Citizenship:

Post Office Address:

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any (given name, family name):

Fourth Inventor's signature

Date

Residence: Citizenship:

Post Office Address:

Full name of fifth joint inventor (given name, family name):

Fifth Inventor's signature

Date

Residence: Citizenship:

Post Office Address:

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any (given name, family name):

Sixth Inventor's signature

Date

Residence: Citizenship:

Post Office Address:

Full name of seventh joint inventor, if any (given name, family name):

Seventh Inventor's signature

Date

Residence: Citizenship:

Post Office Address:

Full name of eighth joint inventor, if any (given name, family name):

Eighth Inventor's signature

Date

Residence: Citizenship:

Post Office Address:

☐ Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.